Astrophysics I: Stars and Stellar Evolution AST 4001

Alexander Heger^{1,2,3}

¹School of Physics and Astronomy University of Minnesota

²Nuclear & Particle Physics, Astrophysics & Cosmology Group, T-2 Los Alamos National Laboratory

> ³Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics University of California at Santa Cruz

Stars and Stellar Evolution, Fall 2008

Overview



- Maximum Mass of White Dwarfs
- Chandrasekhar Mass



Maximum Mass of White Dwarfs Chandrasekhar Mass

White Dwarf Mass-Radius Relation

- White dwarf stars: mass $\sim M_{\odot}$, radius \sim earth radius, cold \Rightarrow Well described by (non-relativistic) degenerate equation of state with $\mu_{\rm e} = 2$, $P_{\rm e,deg} = K_1 \rho^{5/3} \Rightarrow K = K_1$ and n = 1.5.
- from the mass-radius relation,

$$\left(\frac{GM}{M_n}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{R}{R_n}\right)^{3-n} = \frac{\left[(n+1)K\right]^n}{4\pi G}$$

we then find

$$R \propto M^{-1/3}, \quad ar{
ho} \propto M R^{-3} \propto M^2$$

- NOTE: for increasing mass, the radius decreases and the density increases.
- eventually the density becomes so high that we can no longer use non-relativistic degenerate equation of state.

Maximum Mass of White Dwarfs Chandrasekhar Mass

White Dwarf Mass-Radius Relation



 WD mass diverges for M → 0

 WD mass goes to zero at Chandrasekhar mass

Maximum Mass of White Dwarfs Chandrasekhar Mass

White Dwarf Maximum Mass

• When we use the relativistic degenerate equation of state ($\mu_{\rm e}=2$),

$$P_{\rm e,rel-deg} = \frac{hc}{8} \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{u^{4/3}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\mu_{\rm e}}\right)^{4/3} = K_2 \rho^{4/3}$$

we have a polytrope with $K = K_2$ and n = 3.

• we recall that for n = 3 there is only one unique mass as solution (m > 3/2)

$$M = 4\pi M_3 \left(\frac{K}{\pi G}\right)^{3/2}$$

• This determines the maximum mass of white dwarfs

The Chandrasekhar Mass

• This limiting mass for degenerate stars is called the **Chandrasekhar Mass**

$$M_{\rm Ch} = \frac{M_3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{hc}{Gu^{4/3}}\right)^{3/2} \mu_{\rm e}^{-2} = (5.836\,{\rm M}_\odot)\mu_{\rm e}^{-2}$$
$$M_{\rm Ch} = 1.459\,{\rm M}_\odot \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm e}}{2}\right)^{-2}$$

(Nobel Prize in Physics 1983)

- for an iron core with $\mu_{
 m e}=2.15$ we obtain $M_{
 m Ch}=1.26\,{
 m M}_{\odot}$
- for "hot" cores of massive stars partially degenerate relativistic equation of state has to be used $\Rightarrow M_{\rm crit} > M_{\rm Ch}$

$$M_{\rm crit} \approx M_{\rm Ch} \left[1 + \frac{\pi^2 k^2 T^2}{\epsilon_{\rm F}^2} \right]$$

where $\epsilon_{\rm F}$ is the Fermi energy for the relativistic and partially degenerate electrons, $Y_{\rm e}=1/\mu_{\rm e},$

$$\epsilon_{\rm F} = 1.11 \bigg(\frac{\rho}{10^7\,{\rm g\,cm^{-3}}}\,{\rm Y_e} \bigg)^{1/3}\,{\rm MeV}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Stars and Stellar Evolution - Fall 2008 - Alexander Heger Lecture 24: Eddington Limit

Overview

Recap

- Maximum Mass of White Dwarfs
- Chandrasekhar Mass



Eddington Limit

• The radiation pressure is given by $P_{\rm rad} = \frac{a}{3}T^4$, hence its gradient is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{4a}{3}T^3\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

• The radiative temperature gradient is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{3\kappa L}{4acT^3 4\pi r^2}$$

where at the surface we use F = L, and we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{\kappa\rho L}{4\pi r^2 c}$$

Comparing this to the gravitational acceleration, (force per unit volume)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\rho \frac{GM}{r^2}$$

and solving for L we obtain

$$L_{\rm edd} = rac{4\pi cGM}{\kappa}$$

Stars and Stellar Evolution - Fall 2008 - Alexander Heger Lecture 24: Eddington Limit

Eddington Limit

Eddington Limit from Flux

• The outward flux at the surface is

$$H = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$$

• the force from radiation pressure is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{c}H = -\frac{\kappa\rho L}{c4\pi r^2}$$

• setting these two equal, we again recover

$$L_{\rm edd} = \frac{4\pi cGM}{\kappa}$$

Eddington Limit

Eddington Limit - Approximations

• as simple approximation we often use just the electron scattering opacity, (with $\kappa_{es,0} = 0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$)

$$\kappa = \kappa_{
m es} = rac{\kappa_{
m es,0}}{\mu_{
m e}} pprox rac{1}{2} \kappa_{
m es,0} (1+X)$$

- $\kappa_{es,0}$ is due to *Thompson scattering* on free electrons, with a cross section of $\sigma_{T} = \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right) \left(\frac{e^{2}}{m_{e}c^{2}}\right)^{2} = 6.652 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^{2}$; $\kappa_{es,0} = \sigma_{T}/\text{u}$
- for a fully ionized gas of pure hydrogen we hence have

$$L_{
m edd} pprox rac{4\pi cGM}{\kappa_{
m es,0}} = rac{4\pi cGM u}{\sigma_{
m T}}$$

$$L_{\rm edd} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{38} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right) {\rm erg \, s^{-1}} = 3.3 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right) {\rm L}_{\odot}$$

Stars and Stellar Evolution - Fall 2008 - Alexander Heger Lecture 24: Eddington Limit

Implications of Eddington Limit

- Maximum luminosity proportional to mass
 ⇒ minimum lifetime of stars
 (assuming certain fraction of nuclear energy supply is being
 used)
- for "spherical" accretion this sets a maximum accretion rate from accretion luminosity (assuming radius of object or energy release efficiency by accretion)
 How fact can one accomble an actrophysical object?

How fast can one assemble an astrophysical object?

Is Super-Eddington Luminosity Possible?

- Eddington Limit assumes strict spherical symmetry
 ⇒ if problem not spherically symmetric, higher luminosity may be possible:
 - accretion disks
 - surface convection, turbulence.
 - "porosity" radiation to escape between gas in regions of low opacity
 - "bubble" with high magnetic pressure and photon gas break out at the surface

I ≡ ▶

• Eddington Limit assumes transport by radiation

 \Rightarrow if energy is transported otherwise, higher *L* may be possible:

- convection
- sound waves
- magnetic Alfvén waves

Beyond the Eddington Limit

- Eddington Limit assumes hydrostatic equilibrium
 ⇒ in dynamic situations L can be higher
 - supernovae
 - gamma-ray burst
 - other kinds of transients
- Eddington Limit hydrogen gas and electron scattering opacity ⇒ composition and state of gas can change limit
 - neutral hydrogen gas in red giant stars may have lower opacity
 - (pure) helium stars have fewer electrons per unit mass
 - metals may increase opacity at photosphere

• Final Note:

for the Eddington limit we were interested in a global limit based on simple assumptions, that, e.g., is independent on radius

Derive Eddington Luminosity for pure helium stars.

From small groups of 2-3 and write down your derivation. You have two minutes.

Be prepared to present your group's solution on the black board.

Eddington Accretion Quiz

- Assume a star of radius *R* and mass *M* accretes material as "Eddington rate", i.e., the "accretion luminosity" equals the Eddington luminosity.
- For simplicity, assume that this accretion luminosity is just given by accretion rate and surface potential.
- Assume that all the energy that is released as the material hits the surface is radiated away.
- Assume that the gas is optically thin before it hits the surface, i.e., the gas does not "trap" the radiation.
- Assume pure hydrogen gas.

Compute this Eddington accretion rate.

From small groups of 2-3 and write down your derivation. 3 minutes.

Be prepared to present your group's solution on the black board. $_{=}$